Now, I think Senator Lundy does have a resolution, LR 311, I believe, that came through the Constitution Committee which we had a hearing on very late this session which is sitting on General File. I can only imagine that the proposal to insert that resolution into this resolution is being proposed because of the priority status of LR 16. Senator DeCamp, it is your priority, isn't it, I think? That would be the only reason I could see doing it. But more than that the whole question of again adding another General File measure of some controversy, of some magnitude onto the schedule in the last three days has me concerned. We've now been doing a number of these sort of things and I think we're jamming up at the end of the session with some major issues that I'm not feeling very comfortable about that. I even voted for some of them and $I^{T}m$ starting to wonder and it's a question of the way we handle things in this Legislature of saving a lot of the major issues toward the end. Now this one deals with the right to bear arms constitutional amendment and it pretty well, I think, just mirrors the federal language and so probably it's one that shouldn't be a major problem, but at first glance when you read the language it had a number of us concerned in committee about its breadth and what its impact would be. And so some debate about its intent, understanding of its clear parameters makes some sense and in the few hours and the few moments that we have left in this session I'm not sure we're going to be able to give this adequate concern. And I know Senator Hoagland has some amendments to this, so I know he would like to have a chance to discuss it and Senator Chambers and some other individuals have been interested in the issue. I am suggesting that we not unbracket LR 16. It raises a red herring to many people in the state that identify that with Initiative 300. We should leave it buried where it is, not resurrect it again even to deal with the subject matter that I know has a lot of support, but ought not to be proceeded with at this point in the session.

PRESIDENT: Senator DeCamp.

SENATOR DECAMP: Question.

PRESIDENT: Oh, better go on here. Senator Haberman. Senator Vickers and Chambers follow Senator Haberman. Go ahead.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Mr. President, I have a question of Senator Wesely. Senator Wesely, are you opposed to the matter that's in what we want to put into...